

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-916-2022

Date of Decision:-28.02.2022

Randhir Singh & Ors.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Malik, Advocate for respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.517 dated 27.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Section 323, 324, 34, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 21.12.2021 (P-2) arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2.

Vide order dated 01.02.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 01.02.2022 with regard to the compromise/settlement dated 21.12.2021 (P-2).

In terms of the order dated 01.02.2022 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Mr. Vivek Kumar, learned JMIC,

Panipat and as per his report dated 21.02.2022 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned JMIC, Panipat accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No. 517 dated 27.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Section 323, 324, 34, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat and all consequential proceedings arising arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

February 28, 2022

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>