

[101] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1737 of 2016
Date of Decision :07.09.2022

Surender Pal son of Shri Preet Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Gyatri Ahlawat, Managing Director, the
Panipat Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited,
Panipat (Haryana).Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jagdeep Singh Rana, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 01.03.2016, in CWP No.7511 of 2014 in case titled as 'Surinder Pal versus The Panipat Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that the prayer of the petitioner for directions to the respondent to consider his claim for promotion as Clerk from the date(s) private respondents, who were junior to him had been promoted was accepted and the respondent(s) were directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for the post of Clerk from the date respondent Nos.2 and 3, were promoted i.e. 27.01.2012 with all consequential benefits with the aforementioned exercise to be completed within three months.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent refers to office order Ref. No.Genl./2018-19/2607-08 dated 19.09.2018 issued by

the Managing Director, The Panipat Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited, Panipat, accepting the claim of the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of the needful having been done in terms of order (Annexure P-1) and the claim of the petitioner having been allowed and financial benefits released in terms of the entitlement determined therein, he does not press the instant petition.

[4] In view of the position noted above, compliance of order (Annexure P-1) as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.09.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>