

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

254

CWP-469-2020

Date of Decision :27.07.2022

Seema Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of child care leave and regularizing the period the petitioner availed for the said purpose, has been declined by the respondents vide impugned order 30.07.2019 (Annexure P/3).

After notice of motion, respondents have appeared. Learned State counsel submits that he has instructions to state that the period spent by the petitioner on child care leave will be regularised by the respondents and the said period will be treated as duty period and the consequential benefits admissible to the petitioner, keeping in view the said decision of the respondents, will be extended to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the

relief has been allowed by the respondents but, prays that the respondents be directed to release the consequential benefits in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the decision of the respondents that period spent by the petitioner on child care leave will be treated as duty period, the consequential benefits will be released to the petitioner within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed off having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

July 27, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No