

221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-865-2022

Date of Decision: 28.07.2022

DALJEET SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Harvinder Pal Singh Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR
No.155 dated 14.12.2021 under Sections 458/354/323/506/148 and 149 IPC
registered at Police Station Bhandson, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

On 29.03.2022, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case
of version and cross-version and no injury to the complainant side.
Even there is a delay of 18 hours in lodging the FIR. Moreover, the
petitioner had also sustained injuries in the occurrence.

Learned State counsel as well as counsel for the
complainant have stated that the petitioner had sustained injuries
while he had kicked the door of the house of Gurdeep Singh in a
drunken condition.

It is significant to note that as per the reply, there are six
other cases registered against the petitioner. Significantly, in one of
the cases, he has been acquitted, another one has been quashed, in
two cases, cancellation report has been submitted and in one case,
quashing petition of compromise is pending. The other case is
pending against the petitioner is with regard to the offence under
Sections 323/341/148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Bhadson.

Adjourned to 28.07.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join
investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on
interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting
Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2)
of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of
interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the
investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parwinder Singh, has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 29.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

28.07.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No