

Vijay Rana and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate and
Mr. Danish Daneesh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 14.01.2016 in CWP No.15395 of 2014 in case titled as Shiva Enclave Residents' Welfare Society vs. State of Punjab and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.15395 of 2014 was disposed of by taking note of the stand of counsel for the builder that sewerage pipes had been connected with the main sewerage pipe-lines maintained by the Municipal Council and that re-carpeting / reconstruction / repair of the roads which had been delayed due to digging of sewerage, would be completed positively before 31.03.2016. The writ petition was disposed of by directing the Executive Officer of the Municipal Council to inspect the progress of the road work firstly in February and then again in March to ensure that left out work

as well as the Municipal Authorities were directed to take appropriate steps for inclusion of the locality within the jurisdiction of the Municipal Council on completion of the development works while imposing responsibility on the Municipal Authorities to monitor the amenities / facilities / development works executed / completed by the builder and to ensure that the same was duly maintained.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that needful, in compliance of the directions issued vide order, Annexure P/1 dated 14.01.2016 in CWP No.15395 of 2014, has been done, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.05.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>