

HARPREET KAUR

...Petitioner

Versus

SANDEEP HANS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.10.2019 in CWP No.30939 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.30939 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents therein to treat the writ petition as a representation and pass a speaking order in respect thereto in accordance with law within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to compliance report having been called for vide order dated 09.01.2020, the respondent has passed order dated 03.01.2020 rejecting the claim of the petitioner and copy of said decision was supplied to the petitioner in January, 2020 itself, therefore, in the circumstances, he has instructions from the petitioner to state that he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] All pending misc. applications also stand disposed of.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.09.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No