

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CWP-1072-2022

Date of Decision : 04.04.2022

Daljit Ram Banger

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab and Sind Bank and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr.P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir S.Chauhan, Advocate and
Ms.Dipty Chaudhary, Advocate
for the respondent-bank.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that though, vide communication dated 04.03.2022, the respondents have already dropped the charge-sheet. Said fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Once vide order dated 04.03.2022, the charge sheet against the petitioner has already been dropped, which was the prayer of the petitioner in this petition, there will be no useful purpose in keeping the petition alive.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty in case, respondents do not implement the order dated 04.03.2022 or release any consequential benefits for which he may be entitled for, the petitioner can avail appropriate remedy for the redressal of the grievance by reviving the present petition.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous with liberty prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

04.04.2022

JUDGE

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No