

CWP No.515 of 2020
Date of Decision: 13.09.2022

BASANT KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Versus

SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE, YAMUNA NAGAR AND OTHERS
.....Respondents**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.**RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Prohibiting with regard to proceedings pending before the Court of SDM/Tribunal/respondent No.1 in case titled as “Ram Chander Vs. Basant Kumar and another” (Annexure P-1) as the same is not triable by respondent No.1 being beyond jurisdiction and not within the scope of summary enquiry within the scope of powers of the Tribunal created within the meaning of Senior Citizens Act, 2007.

The counsel for respondent No.3 has pointed out that the order against the petitioner already stands passed by the Maintenance Tribunal. Therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered as infructuous.

However, the petitioner would be free to avail his any other legal rights, but in accordance with law.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE**13.09.2022**
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No