

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-M-01-2022 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-10.1.2022**

Gursewak Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Leela Wati

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

Present :- Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate
for the appellant.

RITU BAHRI J.(Oral)

By way of filing the instant appeal, the appellant has challenged the impugned order dated 19.2.2020 passed by the Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in proceedings under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, whereby the appellant-husband has been directed to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month to the respondent-wife towards interim maintenance allowance in addition to the amount of Rs.7000/- per month (Rs.4000/- to the minor daughter, namely, Amrit Kaur and Rs.3000/- to the respondent-wife) already granted under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure vide order dated 13.7.2015 (annexure A-3).

Learned counsel for the appellant *inter alia* contends that in a

petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., maintenance of Rs.7000/- has been finalized to be given to the wife, however, in the present case an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, maintenance of Rs.10,000/- has been awarded, out of which Rs.2000/- is for the minor child and Rs.8000/- is for the wife. However, in this order, it has not been mentioned that Rs.7000/- earlier awarded in proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. shall be adjusted.

Since the wife is staying in a gurudwara as is evident from her address, this Court is disposing of this petition by giving a direction that while making the interim maintenance of Rs.10,000/-, Rs.7000/- will be adjusted.

Disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

January 10, 2022
Vijay Asija

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No