

[204] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1643 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision :15.09.2022

Bachna Ram son of Shri Dhannu Ram ...Petitioner

versus

Mandeep Kaur, Sub Divisional Magistrate-
Cum-Assistant Collector, Ist Grade, Kaithal,
District Kaithal and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 05.05.2015, in CWP No.5104 of 2015 in case titled as '**Bachna Ram**versus**State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] Reply has been filed by learned DAG, Haryana. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[3] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.5104 of 2015 was disposed of with the following directions :-

“ a) The Assistant Collector of the 1st Grade is directed to take notice of the report and initiate proceedings for eviction against persons identified as unauthorized occupants, by following the procedure prescribed by law.

b) The B.D.P.O., Kaithal shall carry out another demarcation and in case any other person is found in unauthorized occupation of land belonging to the Gram Panchayat, place the report before the Assistant Collector Ist Grade, who shall initiate appropriate proceedings for eviction, in accordance with law.

The petitions for eviction shall be filed, considered and decided, within three months of the receipt of a certified copy of this order. ”

[4] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that orders (Annexure P-1) dated 05.05.2015 having been complied with by the respondent, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.09.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>