

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(205)

CRM-M-315-2020

Date of Decision: 21.2.2022

Neelam

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Gautam Kailey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0483 dated 20.10.2019 registered under Sections 323, 376, 377, 498-A, 506, 511, 34 IPC (though sections 376 and 511 I.P.C have not been mentioned in the impugned order dated 20.12.2019) at Police Station, Sadar, District Sonipat.

By virtue of order dated 23.7.2021 while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 23.7.2021 petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel has endorsed the same and submitted that petitioner is no more required for further investigation. It is however, submitted that the cancellation report has been prepared by the investigating agency and the same is likely to be filed before the court of competent jurisdiction.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the contentions raised by counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the order dated 23.7.2021 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C and the petition stands allowed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

21.2.2022

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No