

[212] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1549 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision :02.12.2022

Sunil ...Petitioner

versus

Champa Devi and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent Nos.1, 3 & 4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition and prays for grant of liberty to take out action by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to seek compliance of the judgment and decree dated 28.05.2014 passed by the learned ACJ (S.D.), Pataudi, District Gurgaon in Civil Suit No.RBT-688 of 2007/2013 titled as 'Sita Ram (deceased) through LRsvsSmt. Champa Devi and others' in terms of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Kanwar Singh Saini *versus* High Court of Delhi', Law Finder Doc ID # 370870.

[2] The same is not opposed to by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 3 & 4.

[3] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent(s) under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.12.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No