

[101] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1498 of 2018  
Date of Decision : 27.05.2022

Harpal Singh and others ...Petitioner

versus

Sonali Giri, Commissioner,  
Municipal Council, Amritsar ....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 06.03.2018, in CWP No.5253 of 2018 in case titled as '**Harpal Singh and others** versus **State of Punjab and others.**'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.5253 of 2018, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 25.09.2019 within 06 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if on consideration, the competent authority came to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners was admissible to them, then consequential relief was to be allowed to them within 06 weeks thereafter.

[3] Status report along with appointment letters, Annexure R-3 (Colly) dated 13.04.2022 has been filed. The same is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of appointment letters, Annexure R-3 having been issued to the petitioners though belatedly, the petitioners do not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to claim benefit of appointment and consequential benefits in respect thereto with effect from the date vide which the benefit was to be allowed in terms of order (Annexure P-1) dated 06.03.2018.

[5] The same is not opposed to by the learned counsel for the respondent.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

27.05.2022

'Rajneesh'

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |