

Shanker Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Venuprashad and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab for respondent Nos.1 to
3.Mr. Gauravdeep Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for respondent
No.4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 06.05.2019 in CWP No.11676 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 06.05.2019 reveals that CWP No.11676 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to pass appropriate speaking orders on the claim raised by the petitioner vide legal notice dated 08.03.2019 within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case it was found that the petitioner was entitled to any monetary benefit, to release the same to him within next three months.

[3] As per reply filed by respondent Nos.1 to 3, decision was taken on the claim of the petitioner as made in the legal notice dated

08.03.2019 while as per statement of learned counsel for respondent No.4, payment due to the petitioner as per his entitlement in terms of the claim made in the aforesaid legal notice has also been released to him.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

[5] In the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.03.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No