

RAM BHAJAN SHARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

A. VENUPRASHAD AND OTHERS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 06.05.2019 in CWP No.11764 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.11764 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to pass appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 08.03.2019 within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioner was found entitled to any monetary benefits, to release the same to him within next three months.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to compliance report having been called for vide order dated 09.01.2020, the respondents have decided the claim of the petitioner as raised in legal notice dated 08.03.2019 and released the financial benefits to him as per the entitlement determined therein. Learned counsel states

that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same while granting liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to claim interest on the delayed release of payment.

[4] The same is not objected to by the learned AAG.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.09.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>