

226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP-117-2021
Date of Decision: 15th September, 2022

Sushil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents(arrayed in the petition).

Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 13th February, 2021. The relevant para is reproduced below:-

“That it is humbly submitted that during the inquiry, the allegations leveled by the petitioner against respondent No.4 and 5 regarding threatening etc. were found to be false. There is no threat to the petitioner from the respondent No.4 and 5. The Inquiry Officer recommended that the complaint may be filed. However, the directions have been issued to SHO Police Station Dinanagar to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.”

In view of the reply filed, no further directions are called for.
The petition is disposed of.

However, petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

15th September, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No