

109.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-72-2022

Date of Decision: 06.01.2022

(Heard through VC)

ABHISHEK AND ANOTHER

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are living together since 28.12.2021 in a live in relationship, at the behest of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, would submit that for their grievance, a representation dated 30.12.2021 (Annexure P-3) has already been submitted by the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, District Panchkula i.e. respondent No.2 herein, but no action has been taken thereon so far.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, has put in appearance through the medium of video conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. He would submit that the official respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioners.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Without commenting on the validity of the alleged live-in relationship of the petitioners and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of this petition with directions to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-3) within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

06.01.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No