

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.292 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.05.2022

Jitendra Chaudhary

.....Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4-FCI.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-CBI.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 not to proceed with the departmental inquiry (Annexures P-2 and P-6) during the pendency of criminal trial.

Learned counsel for the respondent-CBI has pointed out that the shadow witness has been examined. The recovery witness is stated to have expired and the complainant has been examined by the prosecution but the petitioner/accused is not cross-examining him for the past four dates. Hence, the petitioner himself is responsible for delaying the proceedings at present.

In view of the above, this Court does not find it appropriate to continue with the present petition any further; as the petitioner himself is responsible for delaying the proceedings. Hence, the same is dismissed.

The pending miscellaneous application, if any, is also disposed of as such.

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE**

10.05.2022
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No