

228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-603-2021

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

KARANDEEP SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Digvijay Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is seeking direction to respondents No.3 and 4 to preserve the call detail record (CDR) as well as mobile location of mobile phone number i.e. 8146329046 for the period 04.12.2019 to 05.12.2019.

Learned State counsel submits that mobile companies do not maintain call detail record beyond 6 months and in the present case FIR was registered in 2019 and present petition is pending since December, 2020. He further submits that even on the date of filing of the present petition, there was no possibility of call detail record (CDR) with the mobile companies because petitioner was seeking call detail record (CDR) for the period 04.12.2019 to 05.12.2019 which was more than one year record.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of. It is further made clear that if anything survives, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate petition.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.11.2022

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>