

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRWP No. 111 of 2022
Date of Decision: 21.1.2022**

Mandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. M.S.Dua, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. The petitioners, who are in a live-in relationship, by filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., are seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus, hence directing respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.

3. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. However, before the authorities concerned, mete compliance with the afore made order, they shall ensure, that the live in relationship, if established, inter se, the co-petitioners, was a sequel to both acquiring the relevant age of majority. Moreover, the afore order is also subject to, the father of co-petitioner No. 1, not making any complaint with respect to his daughter, being abducted by the co-petitioner No. 2.

6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

January 21, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No