

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-168-2022

Date of decision : 10.01.2022.

Shmeena Begam and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners, who are over 18 year of age, have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 04.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar(Rural).

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of the Supreme Court in the case of “**Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another**”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural), to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 10, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No