

[176] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.183 of 2022
Date of Decision : 19.01.2022

Guruparsad ...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Learned counsel contends that despite the petitioner having shown to have secured same marks i.e. 66% in the SC Category as the last candidate selected for the post of Commando Constable (Male), Haryana Police, the name of the petitioner does not figure either in the list of selected candidates or even in the waiting list in the SC Category.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] Ms. Upasana Dhawan, learned AAG, Haryana, accepts notice and on instructions from *Shri Dikansh Goyal, Legal Assistant, Haryana Staff Selection Commission*, states that the petitioner has wrongly stated that he has secured 66% marks in the SC Category whereas in fact, he secured only 61.2% marks and the last candidate selected in the SC Category secured 66.2% marks, while the last candidate whose name figures on the waiting list secured 61.6% marks.

Counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to pursue the matter with the authorities.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *dismissed as withdrawn* with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.01.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>