

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-466-2022
Decided on: 18.01.2022

Ramavtar Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the previous order, an affidavit has been filed and copy of the same has also been supplied to counsel for the State. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner undertakes to join investigation as and when he will be required.
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Rajpal Singh, opposed the bail on the ground that a similar case is pending against the petitioner and he is further required for investigation.
4. Given above, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 10.01.2022 is made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall strictly join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and fully cooperate with the Investigator. Failure to do so, State as well as complainant may file application for cancellation of bail on this ground alone.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE18.01.2022
anju raniWhether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable~~Yes/No~~
~~Yes/No~~