

235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-2-2022 (O&M)  
Date of decision 16.09.2022

MUNESH

....PETITIONER

Versus

MAAN SINGH

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

\*\*\*

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J (Oral):**

Prayer in this petition is for transfer of the petition filed by the respondent-husband under Section 13(1) (ia) (ib) of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending before the Family Court, Jhajjar Camp at Bahadurgarh to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Faridabad.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed on 07.01.2022: -

“Taken up in *virtual mode*.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner would have to travel a distance of approximately 65 kms (one way) in order to attend the proceedings at Jhajjar from her place of residence. It is further the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that two cases initiated by the petitioner being a criminal complaint under Sections 12(i), 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and a petition under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of maintenance are already pending at Faridabad.*

*Process dasti as well.*

*The petitioner is at liberty to serve the respondent through the counsel representing him in the Court below.”*

Learned counsel has relied upon the judgments Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay, 2002 SC 396 and Rajani Kishor Pardeshi Vs. Kishor Babulal Pardeshi, 2005(12) SCC 237, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court observed that while deciding the transfer application, the Courts are required to give more weightage and consideration to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another should ordinarily be allowed, taking into consideration their convenience and the Courts should desist from putting female litigants under undue hardships.”

Learned counsel has further relied upon N.C.V. Aishwarya Vs. A.S. Saravana Karthik Sha, 2022 Live Law (SC) 627, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court held as under: -

*“The cardinal principle for exercise of power under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioral pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife’s convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.*

*Courts between the same parties which raise common question of fact and law, and when the decisions in the cases are interdependent, it is desirable that they should be tried together by the same Judge so as to avoid multiplicity in trial of the same issues and conflict of decisions.”*

It is well settled that while considering the transfer of a matrimonial dispute/case at the instance of the wife, the Court is to consider family condition of the wife, custody of the minor child, economic condition of the wife, her physical health and earning capacity of the husband and most important, convenience of the wife i.e. she cannot travel alone without assistance of a male member of her family, connectivity of the place to and fro from her place of residence as well as bearing of the litigation charges and travelling expenses.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioner, considering the fact that the petitioner-wife will have to bear the litigation expenses and transportation expenses and in view of the judgments in Sumita Singh's case (supra), Rajani Kishor Pardeshi's case (supra) and N.C.V.Aishwarya's case (supra) passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court deem it appropriate to allow the present petition, subject to the following conditions:-

1. *The petition filed under Section 13 (1) (ia) (ib) of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending before the Family Court, Jhajjar Camp at Bahadurgarh will be transferred to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Faridabad.*
2. *The District Judge, Faridabad will assign the said petition to the competent Court of jurisdiction.*
3. *The Family Court, Jhajjar Camp at Bahadurgarh, is directed to transfer all the record pertaining to the aforesaid case*

*to District Judge, Faridabad.*

4. *The parties are directed to appear before the District Judge, Faridabad within a period of 01 month from today.*

Present petition is disposed of accordingly.

16.09.2022  
monika

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |