

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.120

CRM-M-957-2020

Date of decision: 02.05.2022

Nishant Verma and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Kanwar Ashwani Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.474 dated 03.12.2018 registered under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

It is the admitted position that the impugned FIR arises from a matrimonial dispute between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 which dispute stands amicably settled between them.

On 23.07.2021, respondent No.2 had also stated before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Panchkula that she had no objection, if the impugned FIR, is quashed.

Still further, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 also submits that he has express instructions from his client that in the light of the amicable settlement between herself and the petitioners, she has no objection if the impugned FIR is quashed.

Learned State counsel also admits the fact that both the parties have resolved their matrimonial dispute.

The impugned FIR arises out of a matrimonial dispute which admittedly stand settled and therefore, in the light of the law settled by the Supreme Court, the proceedings in pursuance to the impugned FIR would be an abuse of the process of law and also may create hurdles between petitioner No1 and respondent No.2 to carry on afresh with their lives. Resultantly, the impugned FIR is ordered to be quashed.

May 02, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No