

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.208

CWP-225-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.02.2022

Nitika

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simran Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shishir Mishra, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel representing respondent No.2 submits that the mistake was committed by respondent No.3 and now, it has been permitted to upload the Class XI result of the petitioner.

In view of the above statement, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Since, the petitioner has been permitted to deposit the first term as well as second term examination fee by this Court, she would obviously be entitled to take the first term exam with the students who have been given a second chance.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

23.02.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No