

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.155 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.01.2022

Ramotar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Ms.Meghna Nehra, Advocate
for Mr. S.K.Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Petitioner, who is serving as a JBT Teacher, in Government Primary School, Zarifa Farm (14236), District Karnal, Haryana, has filed this writ petition being aggrieved of action of the respondents in not counting the service rendered by the petitioner from 04.05.1999 to 04.09.2008 in a Government Aided School for the purpose of pension, pensionary benefits and other consequential benefits in terms of decision dated 24.07.2015 in CWP No. 9137 of 2013.

It is submitted that petitioner was initially appointed as a JBT Teacher in a Government Aided Privately Managed School against a sanctioned post. Petitioner applied for the post of JBT Teacher through proper channel and he was selected on 03.09.2008. Petitioner, it is stated was relieved from Guru Gobind Singh Senior Secondary School, Narnaul on 04.09.2008 and he joined at Government Primary School, Nalvi Kalan

(Block Karnal) on 05.09.2008. Representation in this respect for counting his past service rendered at Government Aided School, it is stated was submitted by the petitioner for the first time on 13.12.2018, Annexure P-8. Thereafter another representation dated 19.06.2019, Annexure P-9, is also stated to have been submitted by the petitioner, but to no avail. The petitioner is stated to have been continuously agitating his claim before the authorities, but the same is not being considered.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Advance copy of writ petition stand supplied.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts the prayer for a decision on representation dated 19.06.2019, Annexure P-9 served by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State does not raise any serious objection to the restricted prayer.

Keeping in view the limited prayer addressed, facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the question of any delay etc., this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, to decide representation dated 19.06.2019, Annexure P-9, filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

07.01.2022
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.