

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(207)

CRM-M-833-2022

Date of decision: - 17.01.2022

Monu @ Leela

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.225 dated 06.07.2021, registered under Sections 323, 325, 365, 506, 392, 397 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Sector 9-A, Gurugram.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, the complainant has not been examined as yet.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, with liberty to file fresh petition after the complainant is examined.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for, with liberty to file fresh petition after the complainant is examined.

The trial Court is directed to get the said complainant/injured, namely, Kunal, examined as expeditiously as possible.

It is made clear that the second petition for regular bail, if any filed by the petitioner, would be considered on its merit in accordance with law.

January 17, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No