

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**201-3**

**CRM-M-267-2022**

**Date of Decision: May 05, 2022**

**Prem and others**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana**

**.....Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the complainant.

----

**ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)**

Vide order dated 17.01.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 17.01.2022, the petitioners had joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Parveen Kumar. He further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is no more required. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions. Learned counsel for the complainant also strongly opposed the bail.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners make a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 17.01.2022, is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the the petitioners shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioners shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE**

**May 05, 2022**

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No