

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(241-4)

CWP-38-2019

Date of Decision : September 15, 2022

Santosh and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bhavnik Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were provisionally interviewed by the respondents and, therefore, let the respondents produce the result of the petitioners in Court so that, it could be ascertained whether, the prayer of the petitioners has been rendered academic or is to be decided on merit.

Result is produced in a sealed cover.

Learned State counsel submits that the last candidate selected in the category in which, the petitioners are claiming appointment, has obtained 92 marks.

Sealed envelope opened and perused. The petitioners have not been able to secure 92 marks.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 15, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No