

COCp-3-2022

Date of Decision :31.01.2022

Ravi Kant

...Petitioner

Versus

Isha Kamboj

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sunit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Issue notice to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against her.

[3] Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and states that orders dated 06.10.2021 in CWP No.35350 of 2019 in case titled as Ravi Kant vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission through its Secretary and others have been complied with and the name of the petitioner has been recommended for appointment to the post of Mandi Supervisor in the BCB category.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of the learned State Counsel of the name of the petitioner having been recommended for appointment to the post of Mandi Supervisor in the BCB category pursuant to orders dated 06.10.2021 in No.35350 of 2019, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same

may be disposed of as such.

[5] In the light of the name of the petitioner having been recommended for appointment to the post of Mandi Supervisor in the BCB category by the respondent in terms of orders dated 06.10.2021 in No.35350 of 2019, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[6] Contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

31.01.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*