

215/2 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
 AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-473-2022
Date of decision-14.03.2022

Parmod Kumar	...	Petitioner
Vs.		
State of Haryana	...	Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.319 dated 05.08.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506, 325 and 307 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 307 IPC added on later stage) registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 10.01.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the offence punishable under Section 307 IPC was added subsequently and though the petitioner was allowed interim pre arrest bail vide order dated 10.12.2021 passed by Addl. Sessions Judge, Panchkula and pursuant to the same, he had joined the investigation also on 18.12.2021, but finally his bail application was dismissed for non-prosecution, as no

one had appeared before the Court on the said date. He submits that the co-accused of the petitioner has already been released on interim pre arrest bail vide order dated 22.11.2021 passed by this Court in CRM-M-48673-2021 (Annexure P-9). He submits that the petitioner is again willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 14.03.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M- 48673-2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by PSI Gurpal states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 10.01.2022 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

14.03.2022

geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No