

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 226 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 13.05.2022

Dhan Singh MalikPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

This is an application under section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of an arbitrator. The respondents have opposed the same by pointing out that there is an internal dispute resolution mechanism which the petitioner is required to invoke prior to resorting to arbitration under clause 24.1 of the agreement.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to invoke the arbitration proceedings as contained in clause 24.1 of the agreement as well as to invoke the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, if so required.

As prayed, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

13.05.2022
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No