

211
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-240-2022

Date of Decision:26.05.2022

Jaikam

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Digvijay Lambra, Advocate, for
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.285 dated 27.10.2020, under Section 120-B IPC, Sections, 5, 13(2), 17 of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and Section 11 (Act No.59) of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960, registered at Police Station City Nuh, District Nuh.

At the outset, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has stated on instructions from ASI Bhupinder that the petitioner has not joined investigation. He further submitted that vide order dated 02.05.2022 the petitioner was again directed to contact the investigating officer at Police Station City Nuh, District Nuh on 12.05.2022

at 2.00 P.M and he was to furnish an affidavit regarding his having complied with such direction.

However, such an affidavit has not been filed by the petitioner. It has been specifically submitted by the learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana that the petitioner has not complied with the orders passed by this Court on 02.05.2022 and he has not joined the investigation at all.

In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

26.05.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No