

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(233)

CRM-M-119-2020

Date of decision: - 02.09.2022

Mukhtiar Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbal S. Mann, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.95 dated 19.07.2017 registered under Sections 341, 323, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 17.08.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.95 dated 19.07.2017 registered under Sections 341, 323, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that all the persons concerned are party to the compromise.

Learned counsel for respondent nos.2 and 3 admits the factum of compromise.

The parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for recording their statements qua compromise within a period of 10 days.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused.*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender?*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not?*
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer as to how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR.*

Adjourned to 02.09.2022.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 17, 2022”

In pursuance of the said order, the report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla, in which, it has been recorded that the cancellation report in the present case was presented and the same has been accepted vide order dated 10.04.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above-said report submitted by the trial Court, has submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 02, 2022
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No