

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-57-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 31.03.2022**

Surjeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

The Administrator, Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate, and
Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Additional Standing Counsel, with
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh Thakur, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 4-U.T., Chandigarh.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has vacated the premises, nothing further survives for adjudication of validity of notification dated 16.11.2018 (Annexure P-8). He, however, submits that the respondents are recovering rent at an exorbitant rate from the petitioner while they have granted concession in that regard to one Priti Malhotra. He submits that the petitioner in this regard would approach the authorities by filing a representation seeking similar treatment which may be directed to be considered.

Learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the U.T. Administration submits that in case the petitioner does file such a

representation, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned Additional Standing Counsel, UT, Chandigarh, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities.

(Ravi Shanker Jha)
Chief Justice

(Arun Palli)
Judge

31.03.2022
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO