

205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2-2022

Date of Decision: 06.09.2022

ANMOLPREET SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. P.P.S.Duggall, Advocate and
Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 25.05.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.71 dated 03.12.2021 under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC, registered at Police Station Arif Ke, District Ferozepur.

Briefly, as per the allegations in the FIR, the victim is aged about 15 years. On 02.12.2021 at about 10:00 p.m. the petitioner, who had been residing in the neighbourhood scaled the wall, entered the house, woke up the victim and took her along with him on the pretext of solemnizing marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per his instructions, the victim came back to the house of her own and in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. she has not imputed anything against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions of ASI Balwinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect of the case.

Adjourned to 06.09.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vann Singh, has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspects and further contends that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 25.05.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

06.09.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No