

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN**

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13650 OF 2018

Between:

Smt. Yasmeen Sultana @ Arshiya, R/o. Plot No. 67/P, Shanti Nagar, Hydersha Kote,
Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of
Telangana at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances
stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be
pleased to quash the C.C.No. 756 of 2014 pending on the file of VI Additional
Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances
stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be
pleased to stay all further proceedings in C.C.No. 756 of 2014 pending on the file
of VI Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of
Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri SHARAD
SANGHI, Advocate for the Petitioner and of the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the
Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

CRIMINAL PETITION No. 13650 of 2018

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quash of the proceedings in C.C.No.756 of 2014 on the file of VI Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally at Hyderabad registered against the petitioner for the offence under Sections 353, 382, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that the trial has already commenced before the trial Court.

3. One of the main grounds on which the petitioner has filed this Criminal Petition for quashing the proceedings in C.C.No.756 of 2014 is that cognizance of the case against the petitioner was taken beyond the period of limitation. During the course of submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petition may be disposed of with a liberty to take all the grounds raised in this petition before the trial Court during the course of trial.

4. Considering the circumstances explained by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also learned Assistant Public

Prosecutor, this Criminal Petition is closed at this stage with a liberty to the petitioner to raise all the contentions taken by her before this Court, including limitation aspect before the trial Court. Further, the presence of the petitioner before the trial Court is dispensed with, as the petitioner appears to be a practicing Advocate and also a Corporator, however, the trial Court is at liberty to direct the petitioner to be present before the trial Court as and when required during the course of the trial.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-T.KRISHNA KUMAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The VI Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Langer House Police Station, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Sri Sharad Sanghi, Advocate (OPUC)
5. Two CD Copies
6. One Spare Copy

Kj.



HIGH COURT

DATED:01/07/2022

ORDER

CRLP.No.13650 of 2018



CLOSING THE CRL.P.

ATM (8)
2/8/2022