

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P.MADHAVI DEVI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2228 OF 2022

Contempt Case under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act to Punish the Respondents herein for violating, disobeying the Orders of the High Court dated 24-08-2022 in W.P.No.22578/2021.

Between:

S.K.G.Basha, S/o. Imam Hussain, aged about 57 years, Occ. BHEL R & D Casual worker, R/o .No.7-3-13, Ferozguda, Bowenpally Post, Secunderabad-500 011, T.S.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Dr. Nalin Shinghal, The Chairman & Managing Director, BHEL Corporate Office, 7th BHEL House, Siri Fort, New Delhi 110049.
2. Sri Upinder Singh Matharu, The Director(HR), BHEL Corporate Office, BHEL House, Siri Fort, New Delhi 110049.
3. Sri Jai Prakash Srivastava, The Director (R & D), BHEL Corporate Office, BHEL House, Siri Fort, New Delhi 110049.
4. Sri K. Ravi Shanker, The Executive Director, Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited, Corporate R & D Division, Vikasnagar, Hyderabad-500 093, T.S.

...Respondents Nos. 1 to 4.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. T. KISHTAIAH

Counsel for the Respondents: CH. SAMSON BABU

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE P.MADHAVI DEVI

CONTEMPT CASE No.2228 of 2022

ORDER:

The Contempt Case is filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order of this Court in W.P.No.22578 of 2021 dated 24.08.2022 by the respondents and to punish the respondents for the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court had directed the petitioner to make a fresh representation and directed the respondents to consider the same again in accordance with the directions of the Court. It is submitted that the respondents have replied to the representation of the petitioner by letter dated 15.11.2022. However, the reply of the respondents is not as per the spirit and tenor of the direction of this Court. Therefore, according to him, the respondents have committed contempt of this Court.

3. However, this Court finds that the direction of this Court was only to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with the scheme framed by the

respondents for regularization of the services of the employees. The respondents have disposed of the representation and therefore it cannot be considered as wilful disobedience on the part of the respondents. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the response of the respondents, then the same can be challenged by the petitioner in appropriate proceedings.

4. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- CH. VENKATESWARLU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. CH. SAMSON BABU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. T. KISHTAIAH, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

PSR

HIGH COURT

PMD,J

DATED:30/12/2022

ORDER

CC.No.2228 cf 2022



DISMISSING THE CONTEMPT CASE.

③ VW
21/1/23