

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT APPEAL NO: 1093 OF 2011

Writ Appeal under clause 15 of the Letters Patent Appeal preferred against the order dated 17.08.2011 in W.P.No.20051 of 2002 on the file of the High Court.

Between:

R.S.Madhusudhan, S/o. Late R.D. Shankar Narayana, Aged about 47yrs, Occ:
Typist, E.No. 271782, R/o. 34-21, Jagathgirikutta, Post:IDPL, Hyderabad- 37

...APPELLANT

AND

1. APSRTC, Repltd. by its Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad,
2. The Executive Director (Hyd.Zone), A.P.S.R.T.C, MGBS, Gowliguda, Hyderabad,
3. The Regional Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Medak Region, Sangareddy, Medak District
4. The Depot Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C. Bus Depot, Zahoorabad, Medak.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant: SRI V NARASIMHA GOUD

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI N VASUDEVA REDDY (SC FOR RTC)

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATHI

W.A.No.1093 of 2011

ORDER (per AKS,J):

1. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the appellant has informed the Court that the cause in the Writ Appeal does not survive and thus rendering the Writ Appeal as infructuous.
2. Recording the said submission, the Writ Appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.
3. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- M. MANJULA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
MB
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI V NARASIMHA GOUD Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI N VASUDEVA REDDY(SC FOR RTC) [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

EDS

CLP

CHR

HIGH COURT

DATED:13/09/2022

ORDER

WA.No.1093 of 2011



DISMISSING OF THE WRIT APPEAL
AS INFRACTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS

⑤ CHR
26/12/22