

[3308]

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH**

WRIT APPEAL NO: 1250 OF 2011

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent preferred against the order dated 11.11.2011 in W.P.No.1995 of 2004, on the file of the High Court.

Between:

G. Guru Murthy, S/o. Late Suryanarayana. Occ: Service R/o. Hyderabad.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. A.P. State Election Commission, 3rd Floor, Bhudha Bhavan, Ranigunj M.G.Road, Secunderabad, rep. by its Secretary.
2. M.Shyam Babu, S/o. not known to Petitioners Service O/o. State Election Commission 3rd Floor, Bhudha Bhavan, Ranigunj M.G.Road, Secunderabad.
3. G.V. Sai Prasad, S/o.Late G. Pandu Ranga Rao Service R/o. Hyderabad.

(3rd Respondent is not necessary to the above Writ Appeal)

...RESPONDENTS

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2011(WAMP. NO: 2707 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 1st respondent not to promote the 2nd respondent as Assistant Secretary pending the above Writ Appeal.

Counsel for the Appellant: SRI. AKA VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI V.V. PRABHAKARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI T.D. PHANI KUMAR

The Court delivered the following: JUDGMENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

Writ Appeal No.1250 of 2011

JUDGMENT : *(Per Hon'ble Justice Abhinand Kumar Shavili)*

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the respondents had informed the Court that during the pendency of the litigation appellant was promoted as Desk Officer in the State Election Commission and the appellant was claiming seniority over and above the un-official respondent.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant had informed the Court that during the pendency of litigation the un-official respondent has died, thus rendering the present Writ Appeal as infructuous.

3. In view of the above submission, the Writ Appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

4. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending if any in this Writ Appeal, shall stand closed.

SD/-CH.VENKATESWARULU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

- To,
1. One CC to SRI. AKA VENKATARAMANA, Advocate [OPUC]
 2. One CC to SRI. V.V. PRABHAKARA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
 3. One CC to SRI. T.D. PHANI KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
 4. Two CD Copies
 5. One Spare Copy

CHR
BS

CX

HIGH COURT

DATED:30/08/2022

JDUGMENT

W.A.No.1250 of 2011



DISMISSING THE WRIT APPEAL
AS INFRACTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

(6)
02-12-22
SK.