

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERBAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 42240 OF 2015

Between:

U.KRISHNAM RAJU, S/o U.Sathyanna, aged 35 years, R/o 6-6/ A, Peddapalli Village, Maldakal Mandal, Mahabubnagar District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Telangana, represented by its Principal Secretary to Government, Revenue (Excise) Department at Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
3. Prohibition and Excise Superintendent, Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the proceedings dt 21.12.2015 in Rc.No. A/893/2015-2 on the file of the 3rd Respondent as illegal, arbitrary and improper and to consequently direct the Respondents to consider the application of petitioner made in Form I-A for grant of Bar & Restaurant Licence by placing the same in the drawal of lots to be conducted on 30.12.2015 or any other subsequent date ; Award costs.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 54497 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased issue interim directions to the respondents to consider the application of petitioner made in Form I-A for grant of Bar and Restaurant Licence by placing the same in the drawal of lots to be conducted on 30.12.2015 or any other subsequent date; without reference to the proceedings dt. 21.12.2015 in Rc.No.A/893/2015-2 on the file of the 3rd respondent, pending disposal of writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI.N.SRIDHAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 : GP FOR PROHIBITION AND EXCISE

The Court made the following ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T. VINOD KUMAR

Writ Petition No.42240 of 2015

ORDER:

Sri Kaushik, learned counsel representing Sri N.Sreedhar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the cause in the Writ Petition does not survive for adjudication by this Court and thus, this Writ Petition has become infructuous.

2. Recording the said submission, this Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

3. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/-N.CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Two CCs to GP FOR PROHIBITION AND EXCISE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI.N.SRIDHAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies
5. One spare copy

**S.A
PS**



HIGH COURT

DATED:23/06/2022

ORDER

WP.No.42240 of 2015



DISMISSING THE W.P

AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.

87 copies
2m
18/8/22