

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND**

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 24383 OF 2010

Between:

1. T. Satyanarayana, S/o. Subrahmanyam, O/o. XEN/C/RJY, South Central Railway, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District
2. A.V.R.Subrahmanyam, S/o. A.S.R.Anjaneyulu, Section Engineer, O/o. PCE/OL/SC, 5th Floor, Railway Nilayam, Secunderabad.
3. Md. Rafiq Ahmed, S/o. Hussaini Peeran, aged 51 years, Section Engineer, O/o.DRM/W/GTL South Central Railway, Guntakal, Anantapur District.
4. Smt. S. Sudharani, W/o. S.S.R.K.Prasad, Section Engineer, O/o. PCE/OL/SC, 5th Floor, Railway Nilayam, Secunderabad.
5. N.S. Maddileti Reddy, S/o. Venkat Reddy, Assistant Manager, Rail Vikas Nigam Limited, Oxford Plaza, S.D. Road, Secunderabad.
6. S.K.Safdar Ali, S/o.Miya Saheb, Section Engineer, Dy.CE/C/HX, South Central Railway, Kadapa District
7. K.Elisha Rao, S/o. K. Ankulu, Section Engineer, O/o. DRM/W/NED, South Central Railway, Nanded, Maharastra State.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The General Manager, South Central Railway, Nilayam, Secunderabad.
2. The Chief Personnel Officer, South Central Railway, Railway Nilayam, Secunderabad.
3. The Principal Chief Engineer, South Central Railway, 5th Floor, Railway Nilayam, Secunderabad.
4. The Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad Bench, Hyderabad rep.by its Registrar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

7
pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Certiorari calling for the records relating to and connected with orders in OA No.353 of 2009 dated 4-6-2010 on the file of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad Bench at Hyderabad and quash or set aside the same as arbitrary, and opposed to settle principles of service jurisprudence in so far as directing the respondents 1 to 3 to maintain status-quo and consequently hold that the petitioners are entitled to be continued as Section Engineers with all consequential benefits.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 31214 OF 2010)

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of orders of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad Bench In OA No.353/2009 dated 4-6-2010 insofar as directing the respondents 1 to 3 to maintain Status-quo, and further direct the respondents 1 to 3 to continue the petitioners as section Engineers pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI.D.LINGA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents : SRI.G.VENKATESHVARLU, CENTRAL GOVT COUNSEL

The Court made the following ORDER

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH**

W.P.No.24383 of 2010

ORDER (per AKS,J):

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has informed the Court that during pendency of the Writ Petition, the petitioners have retired from the service after attaining the age of superannuation and thus rendering the Writ petition as infructuous.

Recording the said submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- B. SATYAVATHI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI.D.LINGA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI.G.VENKATESHVARLU, CENTRAL GOVT COUNSEL [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies
4. One spare copy

S.A

GJP 

HIGH COURT

DATED:12/09/2022

ORDER

WP.No.24383 of 2010



DISMISSING THE W.P

AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.

as 5 copies

MA
28/2/23