

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO**

REV.IA.NO.1 OF 2023

IN

WRIT PETITION NO: 36973 OF 2014

Between:

L.Shiva Lingam, S/o. L.Yadamallaiah, aged About 47 years, Unemployee,
R/o. H.No. 2-7-2/A, Street No. 3, Kakatiya Nagar, Habsiguda, Uppal,
Rangareddy District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, represented by Its Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Director of School Education, Govt. of Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The District Educational Officer, and Convenor, D.S.C. 2008, Hyderabad.
4. The District Collector & Chairman, DSC 2008, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under order 47 Rule I of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to review the order in WP No.36973 of 2014 dated 29/11/2022.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and Memorandum of Grounds filed in support thereof and upon reading the Order dt: 29.11.2022 in W.P.No.36973 of 2014 and upon hearing the arguments of Sri KATA ARVIND KUMAR, Counsel appearing on behalf the Review Petitioner and of GP for School Education on behalf of the Respondents the Court made the following: **ORDER**

"This Review application is filed to review the order, dated 29.11.2022 passed by this court in W.P.No.36973 of 2014.

Heard both the parties.

Learned counsel for the review petitioner had contended that the review petitioner is qualified to be appointed as Physical Education Teacher (PET). The subject writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of along with the batch of cases and in the operative portion, there was no discussion raised in respect of PETs. Therefore, the learned counsel appearing for the review petitioner had contended that let appropriate orders be passed in the review petition by directing the State Government to consider the case of PETs.


On the other hand, learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents had contended that appropriate orders can be passed.

This Court, having considered the rival submissions made by both the parties, is of the view that the Review Petition can be disposed of directing the State Government to consider the case of PETs also while filling up the vacancies, if the petitioner is coming within the zone of consideration.

With the above said observations/ directions, this Review application is disposed of."

SD/-A.V.S. PRASAD
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad, State of Telangana.
2. The Director of School Education, Govt. of Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The District Educational Officer, and Convenor, D.S.C. 2008, Hyderabad.
4. The District Collector & Chairman, DSC 2008, Hyderabad.
5. The Section Officer, Service Section, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad.
6. The Section Officer, Posting Section, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad.
7. One CC to SRI KATA ARVIND KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to GP FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
9. Two CD Copies

PSK.
KKS

&


CC TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/04/2024

ORDER

REV.IA.No.1 OF 2023
IN
WP.No.36973 of 2014



DISPOSING OF THE REVIEW IA
WITHOUT COSTS.

(12) Copies

Sm
16/4/24