

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
MONDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. BHASKAR REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 6030 OF 2008

Between:

R.R. Caterers, Hyderabad, Rep by its Proprietor, KV Raghava Reddy, S/o. Ram Bathi Reddy, aged 48 years, Occ : Business, R/o. H.No. 1-1-287/33, Bapu Nagar, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Airports Authority Of India, Hyd, Hyderabad Airport, Rep by its Director, Begumpet Airport, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
2. Airports Authority of India, Rep by its Chairman, Corporate Office : Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan, New Delhi - 110 003.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the demand notice No. AA1/HYD/COMML/481/2005-06 dt. 14-8-2006 and the final orders passed by the 1st respondent vide their orders No. 14-8-2006 and the final orders passed by the 1st respondent vide their orders NO. AA1/HYD/COML/ST/2006 dt. 14-9-2006 are illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2008(WPMP. NO: 7839 OF 2008)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass interim stay of recovery of arrears of Rs. 2,60,528/- and further proceedings in pursuance of order AA1/HYD/COMML/481/2005-06 dt. 14-8-2006 and the final orders passed by the 1st respondent vide their orders No. AA1/HYD/COML/ST/2006 dt. 14-9-2006

Sd/- SATYANARAYANA Ref.
Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. CH. SIVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1&2: SRI.E. MADAN MOHAN RAO

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.BHASKAR REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.6030 of 2008

ORDER: *(Per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Ujjal Bhuyan)*

Heard Mr. Satyanarayana, learned counsel representing Mr. Ch.Siva Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. E.Madan Mohan Rao, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. It is submitted at the Bar that the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. In view of above, Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

4. Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- N.SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
6/5/11/23
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. CH. SIVA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. E. MADAN MOHAN RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD copies

B M

r/SW

HIGH COURT

DATED:12/12/2022



ORDER

WP.No.6030 of 2008

DISMISSING THE W.P. AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

PM

5
23/12/22
SW