

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 4438 OF 2015

Petition under Section 115 of CPC to revise the order dated 23.09.2015 in E.P.No.3 of 2003 in O.S.No.241 of 1999 on the file of the Court of the X Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court (FTC), Hyderabad.

Between:

Balaji Reddy, S/o K.Rama Krishna Reddy, R/o HIG-I, Flat No. 4, Block No. 6, Baglingampally, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER/JDR-5

AND

1. M/s. Margadarshi Chit Funds Pvt. Ltd., Having its registered office and Corporate office at 5-10-195, Fetehtmaidan Road, Opp. Police Control Room, Hyderabad having among other branch at Koti, Hyderabad. Rep. by its Managing Director, Rep. by its Principal Officer and Senior Manager, Recoveries Department, 16/B, P.S. Nagar, Masan Tank, Hyderabad. (DHR)
2. M/s. S.K.R. Chemicals Ltd., Rep. by its Vice President and Director Mr.K.Balaji Reddy, S/o. K.Ramakrishna Reddy, 3-4-485/1, C-2, Agarwal Apartments, Barkatpura, Hyderabad.
3. G.Chandra Sekhar,, S/o. G.Balanarasaiah, aged 41 years, R/o. H.No. 6-3-668/10/72 (313), I Floor, Plot No. 72, Durga Nagar Colony, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
4. K.Srilatha Reddy, D/o. T.Venkat Reddy, aged 45 years, Prop. Latha Translator, Flat No. 4, Block-6, HIG-I, Baghlingampalli, Hyderabad.
5. S.Venkata Ramana Rao, S/o. S.Satyanarayana, aged 48 years, Prop. S.V.R.R.Consultats, 30/7, LIG. APHB, Baghlingampalli, Hyderabad.
6. K.V.Ravindra Reddy, S/o. K.Raja Mohan Reddy, aged 40 years, Prop. M/s. K.V.Ravindra & Co., 7-1-309/22, B.K. Guda, Sanjeeva Reddy Nagar, Hyderabad. (JDrs)

(RR No. 2 to 6 are not necessary party in the Petition)

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI SHARAT, REP SRI K. SARVA BHOUMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI P. DURGA PRASAD

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHACRP.No.4438 of 2015ORDER:

Challenge in this Revision Petition is the order rendered by the Court of X Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Courts, Hyderabad, in E.P.No.3 of 2003 in O.S.No.241 of 1999, dated 23.9.2015.

Sri Sharath, Advocate, representing the learned counsel for the petitioner on record, submits that they are trying to locate the file and therefore, time may be accorded. On 06.4.2022, there was no representation on petitioner's side. However, to give a fair opportunity, the matter was listed to 21.4.2022. On 21.4.2022 also, there was no representation on petitioner's side. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted on that day that his client received the entire decretal amount and therefore, the Revision Petition has become infructuous. However, without dismissing the Revision Petition on that day, though there was no representation on petitioner's side, yet the matter was posted to this day to give fair opportunity to the petitioner to pursue the matter. On this day, it is casually represented that they are trying to locate the bundle. The said ground is neither justifiable nor sufficient cause for granting adjournment.

Having regard to the manner in which the matter is pursued and taking into consideration the representation made on this day, the Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution without costs.

As a sequel Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-B.SATYAVATHI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

GB

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court (FTC), Hyderabad.
2. One CC to Sri K. Sarva Bhouma Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri P. Durga Prasad, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies
5. One Spare Copy

gbr

V. J.

HIGH COURT

DATED: 28/04/2022



ORDER

CRP.No.4438 of 2015

DISMISSING THE CRP
FOR NON PROSECUTION

⑥ VW
13/6/22