

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE G.ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1827 OF 2013

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the order dated 19-3-2013 in EA NO. 15 of 2012 in EA NO. 9 of 2010 in EP No. 12 of 2008 in OS NO. 1265 of 2001, on the file of the Court of the Additional Rent Controller-Cum-18th Junior Civil Judge at Secunderabad.

Between

Smt. Seethamma, W/o. Late Kali Kumar Verma, Occ:Housewife,
R/o. H.No. 10-1-569/49, TIT, Maredpally, Secunderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Shanmuga Srinivasa Raja Rajeshwari Enterprises, Rep. by its Managing
Partner Mr. Rama Krishna, R/o. Maredpally, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENT/DHR

2. M.V.L.N.Raju @ Ramu,, S/o. Late Kali Kumar, Occ: Nil,
R/o. H.No. 10-1-569/49, TIT, Maredpally, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/JDR

CRPMP. NO: 2456 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in EP No. 12 of 2008 in OS NO. 1265 of 2001 on the file of the Hon'ble Additional Rent Controller Cum XVIII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Secunderabad, pending disposal of the above Civil Revision Petition.

For the Petitioner : SRI. THUMU CHANDRA SHEKAR, Advocate

For the Respondent :SRI R PAVAN REDDY, Advocate

The Court made the following : ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE G.ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.1827 of 2013

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is arising out of the order dated 19.03.2013 passed in E.A.No.15 of 2012 in E.P.No.9 of 2010 in E.P.No.12 of 2008 in O.S.No.1265 of 2001 on the file of Additional Rent Controller-cum-18th Junior Civil Judge, Secunderabad.

2. E.A.No.15 of 2012 was filed before the trial a court to condone the delay of 736 days in filing the restoration petition in E.A.No.10 of 2010 and the said petition was dismissed on 19.03.2013.

3. The learned Counsel for the respondent/Decree Holder stated that the matter was settled outside the court and the revision petitioner has paid Rs.1,40,000/- through a Demand Draft bearing No.973624 towards full satisfaction of the decretal amount and therefore E.P. was withdrawn before the trial court on 31.07.2013. In order to substantiate his contention the learned Counsel for the revision petitioner has filed daily case status of the trial court relating to the E.P. Even the case status of the trial court also disclose that E.P. No.12 of 2008 was dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Accordingly, recording the aforesaid submission, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs

5. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/-T.KRISHNA KUMAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Additional Rent Controller-Cum-18th Junior Civil Judge at Secunderabad.
2. One CC to SRI. THUMU CHANDRA SHEKAR Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. R PAVAN REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies
5. One Spare Copy

SB



HIGH COURT

DATED:13/06/2022



ORDER

CRP.No.1827 of 2013

DISMISSING THE CRP AS INFRUCTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

⑥ vlv
20/7/22