

[3302]

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 1722 OF 2008

Between:

Goondla Chinna Gangaram, Age: 55 years, S/o. Ashanna, R/o. Thorthi Village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nizamabad (Post & District)
3. The Joint Collector, Nizamabad District
4. Sardi Madgi Sayanna S/o. Muthanna
5. Sardi Madgi Ashanna S/o. Nadpi Ashanna,
6. Donnpal Madgi Jaram Gangaram, S/o. Madgi bhoomanna
7. Madgi Nadpi Ashanna S/o. Pedda Gangaram

...RESPONDENTS

8. Racharla Gangadhar, S/o. Chinna Gangaram, aged about 31 years, R/o. Thorathi village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District.
9. Kondra Nadipi Gangarm, S/o. Poshanna, aged about 46 years, R/o. Thorathi Village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District.
10. Morthad Chinna Sayanna, S/o. Poshanna aged about 61 years, R/o. Thorathi village, Mandal, Nizamabad District.
11. Morthad Bhudevi, W/o late Sayanna, aged about 41 years, R/o. Thorathi village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District.

(Dismissed for default as against R6. As per Court Order dated 24/06/2011)

(No. 4 to 7 are R/o. Thorthi Village, Morthad Mandal, Nizamabad District.)

(R8 to 11 implead as per Court Order dated 07/10/09 in WPMP 11499/08)

...PETITIONERS/PROPOSED RESPONDENTS 8 to 11

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or Direction one more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the orders of the 1st respondent passed in Proceedings No. B/4069/2004 dt 10-06-2005 and confirming the same by the 2nd respondent in Case No. A2/2882/2005 dt 20-10-2005 as also by the 1st respondent in Case No.

E1/4426/2007 dt 21-01-2008 are illegal, arbitrary, violative of the Article 14 of Constitution of India and Section 4(b) of the AP assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1957 and set aside the said orders

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI P. PANKAJ REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondents 4,5 & 7 to 11: M/s. K.RAMA SUBBA RAO

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.1722 of 2008

ORDER:

This petition is coming for hearing since 2008. On 11.11.2022, 02.12.2022 and 16.12.2022, when the matter was called, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, and therefore the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption "for Dismissal". Even today, when the matter is called, there is no representation. It seems that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute this case.

2. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for Non-prosecution/default. No order as to costs.
3. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- C. PRAVEEN KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

GP
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. P.PANKAJ REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad[OUT]
3. One CC to M/s. K.RAMA SUBBA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD copies

BM
KKS

BSR

HIGH COURT

DATED:30/12/2022

ORDER

WP.No.1722 of 2008



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION FOR
NON-PROSECUTION/DEFAULT
WITHOUT COSTS

7
24/01/23
BSR