

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE G.ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

SECOND APPEAL NO: 24 OF 2015

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C against the Judgment and Decree Dated 15.07.2014 made in A.S. No. 296 of 2013 on the file of the Court of the X Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad Preferred against the Decree in O.S. No. 3223 of 2010 Dated 03.06.2013 on the file of the Court of the VIII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

Between:

1. Syed Zarina, W/o Syed Haji, Age: 25 years, Occ: Housewife,
2. Syed Haji, S/O Syed Jaffer, Age: 35 years, Occ: Business,

(Both R/o.H.No.19-2-21/23/54/A/68, Bashrathnagar, Nawabsab Kunta, Hyderabad.)

...APPELLANTS/APPELLANTS/DEFENDANTS

AND

Mohd Khaleem Ali @ Sardar S/o. Mohd.Abdul Kareem, Occ: Business, R/o. 23-2-152/3, Shah Ali Banda, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF

Counsel for the Appellants: SRI K. SAI BABU

Counsel for the Respondent: NONE APPEARED

The Court at the stage of admission made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE G.ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

SECOND APPEAL No. 24 of 2015

ORDER

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the appellants.
2. The appellants herein are the defendants in the suit No.3223 of 2010 on the file of VIII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad. Initially the suit was filed for recovery of Rs.50,000/- from the defendants. The said suit was decreed on 03.06.2013. Being aggrieved by the same the defendants filed First Appeal vide A.S.No.296 of 2013 on the file of on the file of X Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad and the same was dismissed on 15.07.2014 confirming the trial court judgment.
3. The trial Court as well as the First Appellate Court have given concurrent finding that the plaintiff is entitled for money decree of Rs.50,000/-, payable by the defendants with future interest @ 6% per annum from the date of filing of the suit till the date of realization. Being aggrieved by the same, the appellants preferred the Second Appeal.
3. The substantial question of law raised by the appellants is on facts, but not the law.

4. As no question of law is involved in the Second Appeal, the Second Appeal is dismissed at the admission stage. No order as costs.

5. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- K.SRINIVASA RAO
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad
2. The VIII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.
3. One CC to Sri K. Sai Babu, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

GJ



HIGH COURT

DATED:18/08/2022



ORDER

SA.No.24 of 2015

DISMISSING THE SECOND APPEAL
AT THE STAGE OF ADMISSION
WITHOUT COSTS

6
RM
29/12