

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA**

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3317 OF 2016

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against/ aggrieved by the order dated 30/03/2016 passed in IA No.575/2016 in FCOP No.877/2016 on the file of IV Additional District Judge –cum- I Additional Family Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar.

Between:

Smt. M.Divya, W/o M.Ravikanth Reddy,

...PETITIONER/PETITIONER

AND

M.Ravikanth Reddy, S/o M. Bhupathi Reddy,

...RESPONDENT

CRPMP. NO: 4250 OF 2016

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in FCOP No.877 of 2016 on the file of IV Additional District Judge- cum -I Additional Family Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, pending disposal of the main Revision.

For the Petitioner : SMT DIVYA (PARTY-IN-PERSON)

For the Respondent : SRI P.RAMACHANDRAN, Advocate

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.3317 of 2016

ORDER:

Challenge in this Civil Revision Petition is the order rendered by the Court of IV Additional District Judge-cum-I Additional Family Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, in I.A.No.575 of 2016 in FCOP.No.877 of 2016, dated 30.3.2016.

No representation on petitioner's side on call though the matter is listed under the caption "for dismissal". On 11.4.2022 the following order was passed:

"The petitioner who is appearing as party-in-person fails to represent.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the CRP has become infructuous as the application filed for custody of the child itself was withdrawn by the respondent herein as the child has become major.

In the light of the said submission and as there is no representation on petitioner's side, list the matter on 19.4.2022 under the caption "for dismissal".

In the light of the aforementioned order and as there is no representation on petitioner's side even on this day, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/-P.PADMANABHA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The IV Additional District Judge-cum- I Additional Family Judge, at L.B.Nagar.
2. One CC to Smt Divya, (Party-in-person) (OPUC)
3. One CC to Sri P.Ramachandran, Advocate (OPUC)
4. Two CD Copies
5. One Spare Copy

HIGH COURT

DATED:19/04/2022



ORDER

CRP.No.3317 of 2016

DISMISSING THE CRP FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS.

6
ALMA
06/6/2022